

SUMMARY OF COMMENTS AND OBJECTIONS RECEIVED OBJECTING TO / COMMENTING ON THE PROPOSED INTRODUCTION OF A PROHIBITION OF DRIVING RESTRICTION IN FOLKESTONE ROAD, HOLLOWES CLOSE AND SAXON ROAD, SALISBURY AND OFFICER RESPONSE

Comment Ref. No.	Comment	Officer Response
1	It is very difficult to drive in or out when school start or finish. Some times i have to wait for a long period of times from harmham to hollows close. My son when to harmham school,i ad a few confrontation with some parent who on that times where driving on the pavement.Y son nearly run over by car driving on the pavement on a few occasion i did make a complains a few times. the last year of it school i persuaded Highways & Transport to fit a barrier opposite of the school even know the barrier have been damaged by car.	This comment is noted.
2	I am am resident on the Harnham Raod edge of this development but have no parking facility , the propasal as stated will leave my property "marooned " with no parking facility as it appears residents on Harnham Raod have been overlooked despite there being no parking on the Harnham Road side of the proposal.	<p>In general terms the effect of the proposed Order stops all vehicles from being able to be driven along the lengths of road specified in the Order, including those of residents living in the roads. However, the Order contains a number of exemptions, one such exemption allows permits holders to continue to use the lengths of road specified in the Order.</p> <p>Residents of Harnham Road have not been overlooked by the Council in developing the proposed scheme. Residents of Harnham Road are eligible to apply for permits to allow them to continue using the lengths of road specified in the Order. Allowing residents of Harnham Road to apply for permits was specifically in acknowledgement of the fact that some properties in Harnham Road have no parking facilities available within their curtilage and their owners have no option but to park in roads such as Hollows Close and Folkestone Road.</p>
3	<p>For clarity I reside on the HarNham Road in between Folkestone Road and Hollows Close however as I have no parking facility with my private residence the proposal as stated could see my property "marooned" with no parking facility available.</p> <p>Whilst I do not object to the proposal given the number of people parking in these areas and walking into town for work daily I am concerned as a resident that I may be caught in a crazy situation of being one house on the main road with no parking facility that cannot use any other road in the proximity. I am happy to abide by the use of an permit , along with the annual cost incurred to continue to use the area and would appreciate clarification as to whether my property will be included in the area to be granted permits for this proposed new restricted area.</p>	Please refer to the response to Comment 2.

4	<p>We understand that there is a proposal to restrict vehicular access in Folkestone Road, Harnham, Salisbury. Our address is actually 123 Harnham Road but we are situated on the corner of Folkestone Road and our drive is accessed from there.</p> <p>In principle we oppose the planned traffic order. The odd driver does park here to walk into the city for work but, on the whole, traffic is not a problem. Our greatest concern is that if Hollows Close does go ahead with this plan Folkestone Road would then be used by parents dropping their children off at school, shoppers and workers walking into the city and anyone in fact, who doesn't have a permit. Folkestone Road is short, fairly narrow and also a no-through road.</p> <p>Our view therefore, is that we oppose the proposed traffic order, but not just in Folkestone Road, but also Hollows Close.</p>	<p>It is acknowledged that Folkestone Road does not currently suffer extensively with problems caused by parents of children attending the Harnham Schools undertaking the school run and any problems experienced by residents of the road have minimal impact. However, in determining how to address the problems in Hollows Close it was considered highly likely that if restrictions on parking or access were introduced into the road that the school run problems would simply be displaced into the nearest unrestricted road. That road being Folkestone Road.</p> <p>As is explained in the main body of the report residents of Hollows Close experience problems of parents undertaking the school run blocking the road, blocking driveways and mounting the footways. The occurrence of such problems in Folkestone Road would be even more keenly felt by residents of the road as the carriageway is approximately 1 metre narrower than that of Hollows Close.</p> <p>Therefore, it was considered appropriate that any proposals taken forward for Hollows Close should also include Folkestone Road so as to pre-emptively tackle the potential displacement of problems from Hollows Close.</p>
5	<p>I do not support the inclusion of Folkestone Road in the proposed traffic scheme. Here are some of the reasons for my objection:</p> <ul style="list-style-type: none"> • It is not necessary as the problems with parking or access are few and various in nature and are caused by the narrow width of the road. • The visitors at the morning and afternoon school times have a minimal impact over a short period of time. • The introduction of any restrictions, including the use of permits, would be an unwelcome imposition on our freedom of movement in a public road. • Generally vehicles associated with this property are parked within its curtilage rather than on the street, and therefore do not cause any inconvenience to other residents or road users. • I would rather that we can continue to welcome visitors to the road at all times in the expectation that they conduct themselves in a civil and considerate manner. 	<p><u>Response to Bullet Points 1-3</u></p> <p>Please refer to the response to Comment 4.</p> <p><u>Response to Bullet Points 4-5</u></p> <p>These comments are noted.</p>
6	<p>Folkestone Road does not need to be included in this scheme as there are very few problems with access or parking in the road even at school times⁹</p>	<p>Please refer to the response to Comment 4.</p>

7	<p>If this order goes ahead I would be unable to attend evening meetings held in a nearby house, and a resident would not be able to hold meetings, of value to the community, due to lack of nearby parking for attendees. I would not oppose a day time parking restriction but I do object to the proposal as it stands.</p>	<p>It is unclear from the comment as to which road the correspondent is actually referring to. However, the correspondent would still be able to attend the meetings referred to in their comment as visitor permits will be issued to residents of the roads to which the proposed prohibition of driving order will apply which will enable them to continue receiving visitors.</p> <p>Additionally, unrestricted parking is available in the evenings in Old Blandford Road and Parsonage Green. Both of these roads are within a 5 -10 minute walk of the roads to which the proposed prohibition of driving order will apply.</p>
8	<p>I disagree with this proposal. If the parking is restricted here and if further restrictions are planned for access for the bus route on Parsonage green it will force people to park further around in parsonage green and fill up the car parking availability. With my working hours I often take one of the last spaces here. This will no longer be an option and I will be forced to change my working hours to ensure I can reach school in time. Surely the council cannot reduce the parking for the school without increasing the existing car parks in either on Harnham road or in Parsonage green? I fully appreciate that school parking is challenging however living at the other side of town with two small children I have little choice or option.</p> <p>I also appreciate that it must be irritating for residents in the area however with a school in close proximity surely this should be an accepted part of living in that area? The council need to at the same time be offering alternatives to parents in order for us to accept these changes. For parents picking up and dropping off for breakfast club and after schools club we are also restricted if these roads are closed off to school traffic. The cars parked from 7.30 - 8.15 are minimal and again from 4.30 - 5.30 so why are working parents being penalised? I am disappointed in the council's proposal.</p>	<p>The problems being experienced by residents of Hollows Close are predominantly caused by parents undertaking the school run blocking the road, blocking driveways and mounting the footways. Highway law states the public highway is for the passage and repassage of persons and goods, and consequently any parking on the highway is an obstruction of that right of passage. There are no legal rights to park on the highway, or upon the Council (as the local highway authority) to provide parking on the public highway, but parking is condoned where the right of passage along the highway is not impeded. Given the problems in Hollows Close, both reported as occurring by residents and witnessed by officers, the Council has to consider introducing measures to address them.</p> <p>It is important to remember that even if the proposed TRO is implemented that parking that can be used by parents who have to drive their children to the Harnham Schools will continue to be available in Parsonage Green and as part of the Park and Stride scheme actively promoted by the schools as part of their travel plan. The idea behind Park and Stride is that parents park a short walk from the school gates and then walk the remainder of their journey. The schools promote Park and Stride from the nearby Old Blandford Road, the Church Hall Car Park and the Cricket Field Car Park all of which are within a five minute walk of the schools.</p> <p>More generally all the alternative travel options to the Harnham Schools are detailed in their travel plan. A leaflet explaining all the options is available from the schools.</p>
9	<p>I am opposed to this proposal and I believe it will just push the problem onto other streets. I would rather you addressed the current situation.</p>	<p>The problems being experienced by residents of Hollows Close are predominantly caused by parents undertaking the school run blocking the road, blocking driveways and mounting the footways. The proposed TRO is attempting to address the problems being experienced.</p> <p>It is acknowledged that the proposed introduction of the prohibition of driving order has the potential to displace problems into other streets but measures to address such issues have or are in the process of being implemented. Folkestone Road has specifically being included in these proposals to stop problems being displaced into this road. The next three closest roads to the Harnham Schools are Old Blandford Road, Saxon Road and Parsonage</p>

		<p>Green. Old Blandford Road and Saxon Road currently have measures in place to control their use and additional waiting restrictions will shortly be introduced into Parsonage Green that will serve to control any issues that are displaced should the TRO be introduced.</p> <p>More generally if the TRO is implemented the Council will monitor the impact they have on adjacent roads. If problems are found to be displaced into adjacent roads then the Council will consider the introduction of additional measures to address them.</p>
10	<p>The majority of people who park here do so sensibly and with consideration to the residents. It is the same people who park on the double yellow lines, on corners, either side of the road on the curbs. This does cause problems occasionally but it is the same culprits over and over. They should be named and shamed and if necessary given tickets. The residents do also park either side and on the curb as well so perhaps you could address this as well.</p>	<p>Clearly, the correspondents' view is not shared by all residents of Hollows Close but it is fair to say that not all parents undertaking the school run who park in Hollows Close are doing so inconsiderately. It is also fair to say that some residents of Hollows Close and Harnham Road who park in Hollows Close are parking inconsiderately.</p> <p>As is explained in the main body of the report the introduction of additional waiting restrictions was considered as an option for addressing the problems being experienced in Hollows Close. However, the local residents who raised this matter requested the introduction of a prohibition of driving order and this request was supported by CATG and Area Board and accordingly the proposals were developed on this basis.</p> <p>The Council has received reports of inconsiderate parking taking place in Hollows Close during school holiday periods which are obviously not caused by parents of children attending the Harnham Schools. However, the instances of this problem occurring seem to be the exception rather than the rule. That said though if the proposed prohibition of driving TRO is implemented and parking problems continue to occur in Hollows Close then the introduction of additional waiting restrictions would be considered by the Council.</p>
11	<p>If you have small children and if you are on the way to work it is difficult to park or walk some distance.</p>	<p>It is important to remember that even if the proposed TRO is implemented that parking that can be used by parents who have to drive their children to the Harnham Schools will continue to be available in Parsonage Green and as part of the Park and Stride scheme actively promoted by the schools as part of their travel plan. The idea behind Park and Stride is that parents park a short walk from the school gates and then walk the remainder of their journey. The schools promote Park and Stride from the nearby Old Blandford Road, the Church Hall Car Park and the Cricket Field Car Park all of which are within a five minute walk of the schools.</p>
12	<p>You could also put cameras up which could monitor the culprits who keep parking close to the school and on double yellow lines.</p>	<p>This comment is noted.</p>

13	The other option is to reduce traffic is to have buses collecting the children from various areas across Harnham which would help with this situation.	Whilst it is agreed that the proposal contained within the comment would help the situation the cost of providing such a bus service is difficult to justify. The annual cost of running the service would be thousands of pounds whereas the Council's current proposals would require the initial cost of introducing the TRO and signage and ad hoc maintenance costs to replace the signage as and when required.
14	As a parent of a child attending Harnham Junior School I object to this prohibition of driving order for Hollows Close outside of the normal school opening and closing times. She attends breakfast and after school clubs at the infant and junior schools. In the winter months it is unsafe for us to walk along the footpath (as recommended in the Harnham schools travel plan) from Old Blandford Road to Folkestone Road / Hollows Close in the dark as the footpath is unlit and it is very slippery due to uncleared leaves, mud, dog excrement and black ice. If you do decide to prohibit traffic on these 2 roads please do at the very least put some lighting in place on the footpath from its junction at Old Blandford Road to Folkestone Road and include the footpaths, pavements and Harnham steps in your de-icing / salting and pavement cleansing programmes, not just Saxon Road for the benefit of your employees.	<p>In developing these proposals consideration was given by officers to introducing hours of operation to tie in with the school opening and closing times. However, to make the proposals easy to understand by residents and simpler to enforce it was decided to proceed on the basis of prohibiting driving on the days of the week that the schools are open. This approach also ties in with the current prohibition of driving order in place in Saxon Road which has operated on this basis since 2007.</p> <p>When the surface of Harnham Slope (the footpath referred to) was upgraded and its use as a route to school promoted the possibility of installing lighting was consulted upon but ultimately rejected as local residents wanted the footpath to retain a rural feel.</p> <p>However, given Harnham Slope's use as a route to school and an anticipated increase in its level of use should the prohibition of driving order be introduced the provision of lighting and other improvements could be considered. If the correspondent wants to see the footpath improved then they should raise this issue directly with the Harnham School Travel Plan Group who could take this matter forward through the Taking Action On School Journeys programme.</p>
15	Also repair and re-opening of the footpath and crossing at the top of Coombe Road by the stone wall and railings, which connects Harvard Heights estate to Bouverie Avenue would allow the many children from our housing estate (Harvard Heights) to resume walking to school, instead of being to driven to school, as is now the case.	The repair of the informal crossing point will take place in due course. However, the suggestion that is not possible to walk to the Harnham Schools from the Harvard Heights estate because the aforementioned crossing point is currently closed is disingenuous. An alternative walking route from the Harvard Heights estate utilising the pedestrian refuge outside of No. 198 Coombe Road and then walking back to the steps leading to Bouverie Avenue South is eminently possible albeit adding approximately 150 metres to the journey than if the crossing point mentioned was open.
16	<p>My wife and I live at 117 Harnham Road but our traffic entrance is off Folkestone Road. We write to object most strongly to the proposals put forward and for the following reasons:</p> <ol style="list-style-type: none"> 1. We pay rates , business rates and road tax and see no reason why we should pay an additional sum to the Wiltshire Council to park in our own street. Does Mrs Scott, the Leader of the Wiltshire Council, have to pay to park at County Hall? 2. Being at work we are not aware of any difficulties encountered with schoolchildren being collected or dropped off for school and this seems 	<p><u>Response to Comments 1-4</u></p> <p>The problems being experienced by residents of Hollows Close are predominantly caused by parents undertaking the school run blocking the road, blocking driveways and mounting the footways. Highway law states the public highway is for the passage and repassage of persons and goods, and consequently any parking on the highway is an obstruction of that right of passage. There are no legal rights to park on the highway, or upon the Council (as the local highway authority) to provide parking on the public highway, but parking is condoned where the right of passage along the highway is not impeded. Given the problems in Hollows Close, both reported</p>

to be a concern of the type of thing that a retired person with too much time on their hands would concern themselves with. The issue can only arise for half an hour ,5 days of the week for 30 or so weeks of the year yet the Council seeks more controls over us 24 hours of the day 365 days of the year. Why is the proposal in that excessive format? Who is to police this and at what cost?

3. People in work are busy and need to be able to drop their children away from Harnham Road as the Wiltshire Council has failed to control traffic levels or traffic speeds along Harnham Road. Many meetings have been called for forms sake but nothing done so this issue is effectively one which results from Council inactivity. In any event the problem, if problem it is, will merely move elsewhere.
4. The School has been where it is for many decades.It is well respected ,fully subscribed and an asset to Harnham. Tolerance of the needs and requirements of the School seems to be missing from those behind this proposal.Those who move to the area cannot expect an existing arrangement to change at their behest.This is like moving to Silverstone and then complaining about the noise.
5. A better proposal is to Tarmac over the green near the entrance to Saxon Road and make that a dropping off point for the school.Here by using a one way system inside that new area and a pedestrian crossing children can be safely deposited and collected without alleged difficulties arising to householders
6. An alternative is to declare Harnham Road a 20mph zone with traffic calming to encourage walking and cycling to school.A mistake was made by the Council when it allowed Harnham Road to be upgraded from a B to an A road in about 1988.

We hope our views will be taken into account to defeat this proposal .

as occurring by residents and witnessed by officers, the Council has to consider introducing measures to address them.

It is acknowledged that Folkestone Road does not currently suffer extensively with problems caused by parents of children attending the Harnham Schools undertaking the school run and any problems experienced by residents of the road have minimal impact. However, in determining how to address the problems in Hollows Close it was considered highly likely that if restrictions on parking or access were introduced into the road that the school run problems would simply be displaced into the nearest unrestricted road. That road being Folkestone Road. Therefore it was considered appropriate that any proposals taken forward for Hollows Close should also include Folkestone Road so as to pre-emptively tackle the potential displacement of problems from Hollows Close.

More generally, if the TRO is implemented the Council will monitor the impact they have on adjacent roads. If problems are found to be displaced into adjacent roads then the Council will consider the introduction of additional measures to address them.

The charge for permits is to cover the administration costs of issuing them. Enforcement of the scheme will be undertaken by the Police. The permit itself is not a parking permit per say but indicates to the Police that you are exempt from the prohibition of driving restriction. The costs of permits, be they residents or visitors, is a modest £5 per permit. The number of permits that can be applied for is unlimited and they only have to be renewed every three years. Renewal of residents' permits is free of charge whilst the renewal of visitor permits is £5 per permit. Therefore, the cost of a permit works out at less than a penny per day over their three year life cycle.

Response to Comment 5

The grassed area referred to is Parsonage Green. Providing a car park on the grassed area from which Parsonage Green derives its name would be a matter for the Council's Housing Department as the landowners. If the correspondent wishes to pursue this matter they are advised to raise this issue directly with the Harnham School Travel Plan Group who could seek to take this matter forward through the Taking Action On School Journeys programme. However, removing a section of the grassed area to provide parking is likely to be unpopular with local frontages.

Response to Comment 6

The Council's current policy is that 20 mph speed limits cannot be introduced onto 'A' class roads. Unfortunately, as Harnham Road forms part of the

		<p>A3094 it is not possible to introduce a 20 mph speed limit on this road.</p> <p>More generally, the Council remains committed to working with the schools to promote sustainable modes of travel and addressing school travel issues. The Council is continuing to work with the schools on delivering its travel plan through the Taking Action On School Journey's programme and is currently working on proposals to deliver a shared use path from Harnham Gytratory to the schools. The shared use path will be delivered in two phases with the first phase between Harnham Gytratory and Old Blandford Road due to be delivered on the ground in early 2015. When both phases have been introduced it will be possible for children to cycle all the way from the Rowbarrow Estate to the school (and vice versa) without having to cycle on the carriageway.</p>
17	<p>Lack of prior consultation with directly affected residents in Harnham Road.</p> <p>A3094 Harnham Road has double yellow lines along its length on both sides of the carriageway. This means that trades people carrying out work to properties along Harnham Road often have to park their vehicles in Folkestone Road or Hollows Close. Similarly some occupiers of and visitors to these properties also park at the lower (north) end of Folkestone Road where there are no frontage properties. As occupiers of a property on the south side of Harnham Road between Folkestone Road and Hollows Close we are directly affected by this proposal which will make it very difficult for trades' people to service our property or for us to have more than a limited number of visitors to our house simultaneously on a weekday, including the evenings. I am aware that there was some prior consultation with the residents of Folkestone Road. Why were we not consulted about the proposal at that time and our views sought before it was drawn up?.</p>	<p>The prior consultation with residents of Folkestone Road was undertaken by residents of Hollows Close in developing their case for the introduction of measures to deal with the problems they were experiencing. It was the residents' decision as to who they felt should be consulted in developing their case. From the point of view of the Council only one consultation has been undertaken on this subject with residents, that being the TRO in response to which these comments were submitted.</p> <p>Residents of Harnham Road have not been overlooked by the Council in developing the proposed scheme. Residents of Harnham Road are eligible to apply for permits to allow them to continue using the lengths of road specified in the order. Allowing residents of Harnham Road to apply for permits was specifically in acknowledgement of the fact that some properties in Harnham Road have no parking facilities available within their curtilage and their owners have no option but to park in roads such as Hollows Close and Folkestone Road and also that some properties have frontages directly onto the aforementioned roads. There is no limit on the number of permits that eligible households may apply for so consequently no limit on the number of visitors the correspondent may receive at any one time.</p> <p>More generally, the proposed TRO contains a number of exemptions relating to servicing of properties to which the prohibition of driving restriction applies which will help to deal with the correspondents concerns about servicing.</p>
18	<p>That the reasons given for making the order are not applicable to Folkestone Road</p> <p>The reason given for the order is:</p> <p><i>(d) For preventing the use of the road by vehicular traffic of a kind which, or its use by vehicular traffic in a manner which, is unsuitable having regard to the existing character of the road or adjoining property</i></p>	<p>When advertising TROs for comment the Council in its role as the local highway authority is legally required by the Road Traffic Regulation Act 1984 to publish its reasons for proposing the introduction of restrictions.</p> <p>The reasons for which restrictions can be introduced are defined by the Road Traffic Regulation Act 1984. There are seven defined reasons why a highway authority may introduce restrictions and in the case of this TRO the reason used by the Council is:</p>

	<p>Folkestone Road is a wholly residential cul- de- sac with a turning head on the west side near its southern end. It is narrow and generally has a number of cars parked within it as not all the properties in Folkestone and Harnham Roads have off street parking. Therefore, by its very nature, it cannot be used as a rat run or by unsuitably large vehicles. I walk the length of Folkestone Road with my dog at least twice a day to access Harnham Slope. In the morning, this walk generally coincides with when children are going to Harnham schools. I have never seen the turning head obstructed despite the 'keep clear' markings having worn, and I have seldom witnessed any problems with obstruction by trade/utilities vehicles. Traffic speeds are low.</p> <p>The reason is therefore inapplicable to Folkestone Road and consequently unnecessary and unduly restrictive of the public's right to use the road.</p>	<ul style="list-style-type: none"> • For preventing the use of the road by vehicular traffic of a kind which, or its use by vehicular traffic in a manner which, is unsuitable having regard to the existing character of the road or adjoining property. (Defined as Reason 'D' by the Road Traffic Regulation Act 1984). <p>It is acknowledged that Folkestone Road does not currently suffer extensively with problems caused by parents of children attending the Harnham Schools undertaking the school run and any problems experienced by residents of the road have minimal impact. However, in determining how to address the problems in Hollows Close it was considered highly likely that if restrictions on parking or access were introduced into the road that the school run problems would simply be displaced into the nearest unrestricted road. That road being Folkestone Road.</p> <p>As is explained in the main body of the report residents of Hollows Close experience problems of parents undertaking the school run blocking the road, blocking driveways and mounting the footways. The occurrence of such problems in Folkestone Road would be even more keenly felt by residents of the road as the carriageway is approximately 1 metre narrower than that of Hollows Close. Therefore, it was considered appropriate that any proposals taken forward for Hollows Close should also include Folkestone Road so as to pre-emptively tackle the potential displacement of problems from Hollows Close.</p> <p>In view of the fact that the Council's proposals are seeking to prevent the use of Hollows Close and Folkestone Road by extraneous vehicular traffic the use of Reason D is considered wholly appropriate.</p>
19	<p>That the proposed measures are disproportionately restrictive causing us detriment to the enjoyment of our property.</p> <p>Since Saxon Road was restricted in the manner now also proposed for Hollows Close and Folkestone Road, there has been a problem with inconsiderate parking and manoeuvring in Hollows Close and Parsonage Green associated with the school run. Whilst I can understand that if Hollows Close is so restricted this could potentially cause a problem in Folkestone Road, the proposal, which includes the evenings and non-school days as currently drafted, is disproportionately restrictive.</p> <p>As our property is not ' adjacent' to Folkestone Road , visitors to our property would not be exempted under Article 3 clauses (ii) – (v) of the order as currently written, which will, owing to the lack of any other public parking in the vicinity, make it difficult for us to service & maintain our property. Whilst we are fortunate in having some off street visitor parking, the access thereto is too narrow for many trade vans and being a shared private drive, is not used by casual visitors.</p>	<p>In developing these proposals consideration was given by officers to introducing hours of operation to tie in with the school opening and closing times. However, to make the proposals easy to understand by residents and simpler to enforce it was decided to proceed on the basis of prohibiting driving on the days of the week that the schools are open. This approach also ties in with the current prohibition of driving order in place in Saxon Road which has operated on this basis since 2007.</p> <p>Making it so that the TRO only applied during terms would make the scheme more difficult to understand for the public at large who would not necessarily be aware of the school term times. Similarly, having residents stop / start using permits based on term times will make the proposed restrictions unnecessarily complex to follow.</p> <p>Articles 3 clauses (ii) – (v) of the proposed Order do not specifically include or indeed exclude residents of Harnham Road but as previously stated residents of Harnham Road are eligible to apply for permits to allow them to continue using the lengths of road specified in the proposed Order. There is</p>

	<p>As the proposed order is a blanket Monday – Friday driving restriction, and not specific to the school or working day, it will effectively restrict the number of people who are able to visit us on weekday evenings at any one time and preclude all our close family from visiting or staying with us simultaneously on a weekday. We consider this to infringe our human rights under Article 6 - Respect for Private and Family life.</p> <p>Our objections could be mitigated by:</p> <ul style="list-style-type: none"> • Specific reference being made to Harnham Road in Article 3 clauses(ii)(iii), (iv) and (v) to permit service vehicles to those properties to park in Folkestone Road & Hollows Close and • Permits being made available upon request to residents of Harnham Road and • The order being amended so that it only applies during the hours of 8am – 10am and 2pm – 4pm Monday – Friday during term time. 	<p>no limit on the number of permits that eligible households may apply for so consequently no limit on the number of visitors the correspondent may receive at any one time.</p> <p>Article 8 of the Human Rights Act concerns “Right to respect for private and family life”. Clause 1 of the article states “Everyone has the right to respect for his private and family life, his home and his correspondence.” However, Clause 2 of the article goes onto state that “There shall be no interference by a public authority with the exercise of this right except such as is in accordance with the law”. As the Council is legally entitled as the local highway authority to introduce the type of restrictions proposed by the TRO it is considered that the correspondents’ human rights have not been infringed.</p>
20	<p>Further Comment</p> <p>If Saxon Road, Hollows Close and Folkestone Road are restricted as proposed, the problem will simply be displaced elsewhere. Parking already takes place in the sight splays at the junction of Hollows Close/Harnham Road to the detriment of highway safety. Waiting on Netherhampton Road and Wiltshire Road, currently mainly unrestricted, is likely to increase at school run times, causing congestion. Problems with the ‘school run’ should be addressed via the schools’ travel plans and selective waiting restrictions rather than by restricting the public’s right to drive upon the public highway. Such plans should contain measures that positively discourage travel to school by car. This would also have positive health benefits for the pupils.</p> <p>Please consider our objection to this proposed order which we respectfully request be not confirmed in its current form</p>	<p>The Harnham Schools have an active travel plan which they are in the process of implementing. All the alternative travel options to the Harnham Schools are detailed in their travel plan. A leaflet explaining all the options is available from the schools.</p> <p>The Council remains committed to working with the schools to promote sustainable modes of travel and addressing school travel issues. The Council is continuing to work with the schools on delivering its travel plan through the Taking Action On School Journey’s programme and is currently working on proposals to deliver a shared use path from Harnham Gyratory to the schools. The shared use path will be delivered in two phases with the first phase between Harnham Gyratory and Old Blandford Road due to be delivered on the ground in early 2015. When both phases have been introduced it will be possible for children to cycle all the way from the Rowbarrow Estate to the school (and vice versa) without having to cycle on the carriageway.</p> <p>More generally if the TRO is implemented the Council will monitor the impact they have on adjacent roads. If problems are found to be displaced into adjacent roads then the Council will consider the introduction of additional measures to address them.</p> <p>It is already illegal for vehicles to park in the visibility splays at the junction of Harnham Road and Hollows Close by virtue of the presence of no waiting restrictions. Such problems can be reported direct to parking@wiltshire.gov.uk who can arrange for enforcement of the restrictions to be undertaken.</p>

<p>21</p>	<p>Response to Cllr Brian Dalton's letter, re Hollows Close and Folkestone Road, Traffic order.</p> <p>I thank you for the research and consultation you have carried out on traffic in Folkestone Road, but have to disagree with the need for the parking permit scheme for the following reasons.</p> <ol style="list-style-type: none"> 1. I have not experienced any delay or inappropriate response by mothers who have parked in order to get their children to school, they are usually careful not to block the passage of other cars, and are parked at most for only 20 mins. 2. In the absence of alternative parking areas, I do sympathise with their predicament, although now a grandmother, I remember some of the difficulties I experienced when trying to get to work and dropping off children in a very busy schedule. 3. It is true that we do have little available parking space, both for delivery, business and workmen, but I would prefer to continue as we have before with the usual co-operation and understanding of our neighbours, most of whom cope without resorting to yet more officialdom, rules and parking permits. 4. I would like to point out that the wrong impression may have been gathered from those people who were interviewed personally by Cllr. Dalton, and that the scheme does not have a huge support from Folkestone Road. 	<p><u>Response to Bullet Point 1</u></p> <p>Please refer to the response to Comment No. 4.</p> <p><u>Response to Bullet Points 2-4</u></p> <p>These comments are noted.</p>
<p>22</p>	<p>I am concerned to read today the proposal of the prohibition of driving along Hollows Close and Folkestone Road, Salisbury.</p> <p>I am a parent of a pupil in Year 2 at Harnham Infant school, and starting Harnham Junior School in September this year. I understand some of the reasons behind such proposals, but you have also got to understand that as one of the largest Infant and Junior Schools in Salisbury with over 500 pupils, creating such a proposal is not going to be a positive change for the parents of pupils at the school.</p> <p>It's fantastic to see the new travel plan at the schools, but for some parents, as it is for myself, it is impossible for me to walk into school each day, with dropping my 3 year old off at nursery first on the other side of town, and then getting to work on time after dropping my son off at school. This proposal for the prohibition of driving along Hollows Close and Folkestone Road, plus the proposal of double yellow lines along Parsonage Green, my question would be - where do you expect all these parents in this situation to park each day to ensure a safe walk from the car to school? There has got to be a sufficient</p>	<p>Please refer to the response to Comment 11.</p>

	space still available at a reasonable distance from the schools for parents to park their cars.	
23	<p>I understand that there is a proposal to restrict vehicular access from Monday to Friday in Folkestone Road, Salisbury, and I would like to object strongly to this proposal. Although our address is 119, Harnham Road, Salisbury SP2 8JN, we are on the corner of Folkestone Road and the access to our garage is via Folkestone Road.</p> <p>I fully understand the reason behind the plan, but have seen similar plans implemented in other parts of the country and find that such a plan does not solve what it is designed to do. Another problem will simply be created elsewhere.</p> <p>We have seen increasing traffic locally over the last 25 years we have lived here but this increase causes no significant problems and we would wish to keep the situation as it currently stands.</p> <p>Please be sure to record our strong objection to this proposal.</p>	Please refer to the response to Comment 4.
24	<p>I object to this proposal because, I often park in Folkestone Road when visiting my parents who live on Harnham Road. They have off road parking, but it is limited. If we visit when other members of our family are there, then we park in Folkestone Road</p> <p>I sometimes walk my Parents dog up Folkestone Road to the Harnham Slope. This often happens at school pick up time. I wouldn't say the the parking along the road, is any busier than usual. It certainly doesn't cause a problem.</p>	<p>It is acknowledged that Folkestone Road does not currently suffer extensively with problems caused by parents of children attending the Harnham Schools undertaking the school run and any problems experienced by residents of the road have minimal impact. However, in determining how to address the problems in Hollows Close it was considered highly likely that if restrictions on parking or access were introduced into the road that the school run problems would simply be displaced into the nearest unrestricted road. That road being Folkestone Road.</p> <p>Therefore, it was considered appropriate that any proposals taken forward for Hollows Close should also include Folkestone Road so as to pre-emptively tackle the potential displacement of problems from Hollows Close.</p> <p>More generally, visitor permits will be issued to residents of the roads to which the proposed prohibition of driving order will apply which will enable them to continue receiving visitors. There is no limit on the number of permits that eligible households may apply for so consequently no limit on the number of visitors the correspondent parents may receive at any one time.</p>
25	<p>We wish to let you know that we object to the proposal for a traffic regulation order for Folkestone Road.</p> <p>We have never had any trouble with the parking during school times and have found everyone very considerate.</p> <p>We also do not want regulations for our visitors when it is completely unnecessary.</p>	Please refer to the responses to Comments 4 and 11.

	Due to children coming from local villages to Harnham School, naturally they must come by car and we feel sorry for the drivers concerned, they must park somewhere for a short time.	
26	We three residents (all on the electoral roll) of the above address strongly object to the scheme being proposed Folkestone Road should be an unrestricted parking road including for parents dropping and collecting children from Harnham School.	Please refer to the response to Comment 4.
27	<p>I object to the traffic order as it stands because it covers these roads from Monday to Friday (at all times) and it prohibits driving, with the stated exceptions.</p> <p>The traffic order is to reduce congestion at the times of dropping off /collecting pupils at the Harnham Schools. Therefore it would be more acceptable to introduce No Waiting Monday – Friday 8.15am-9.15am and 2.30pm-3.30pm for Folkestone Road and Hollows Close.</p> <p>I have lived in Folkestone Road for over 30 years, and feel that to prohibit cars from driving in the road from Monday to Friday at all times, is unnecessary.</p>	In developing these proposals consideration was given by officers to introducing hours of operation to tie in with the school opening and closing times. However, to make the proposals easy to understand by residents and simpler to enforce it was decided to proceed on the basis of prohibiting driving on the days of the week that the schools are open. This approach also ties in with the current prohibition of driving order in place in Saxon Road which has operated on this basis since 2007.
28	<p>I had a look on your website and under the 'reasons' for closure if the roads near Harnham schools found no reasons lister.</p> <p>I am sure that the intense traffic twice a day is not a welcome feature for the streets however I would welcome your suggestions of where one can park from now on? Is council planning to open an alternative car park?</p> <p>I am picking my children after work which is approx 23 miles away from school so it would take me a little while to walk to school. So, any tips?</p>	Please refer to the response to Comment 4.
29	<p>I'm writing to object to the proposal which prohibits motor vehicles in a number of roads round Harnham Infants and Junior schools</p> <p>Whilst I am in support of the ban at general drop off/collection times, I use the after-school club facility which offers childcare until 6pm. I usually have two smaller children with me at this later time of day which means it is very helpful to be able to park in Hollows Close to make the pick up nice and easy. It would significantly inconvenience me to have this blanket ban on cars and wonder whether a daily time restriction may be applied 8:30 to 16:00 rather than the entirety Monday to Friday I hope you understand my grievances and take time to consider this letter.</p>	Please refer to the response to Comment 28.

<p>30</p>	<p>While I can understand the concerns of the residents, there is no valid reasons given on the documentation.</p> <p>when dropping my children off and picking up usually park on the old blandford road, or in the cricket ground and walk them to and from school, but once a week I have to pick up from St Edmunds school at 3pm and then get across to pick up at 3.15, I usually 10 min late and my daughter has to stand around. This would mean I was no longer be able to park in the vicinity to collect my daughter, the time added to collect her would add more than 20 minutes to the time as the traffic volume displaced means it would be impossible to find a place to park to walk and collect her. Which is not fair on the school.</p> <p>Aslo the displaced traffic now has to find other areas and would cause more mayhem for the buses on parsonage green and the main harnham road</p>	<p>As is explained in the main body of the report, residents of Hollows Close experience problems of parents undertaking the school run blocking the road, blocking driveways and mounting the footways. The proposed TRO aims to address these problems.</p> <p>Even if the proposed TRO is implemented, parking that can be used by parents who have to drive their children to the Harnham Schools will continue to be available in Parsonage Green and as part of the Park and Stride scheme actively promoted by the schools as part of their travel plan. The idea behind Park and Stride is that parents park a short walk from the school gates and then walk the remainder of their journey. The schools promote Park and Stride from the nearby Old Blandford Road, the Church Hall Car Park and the Cricket Field Car Park all of which are within a five minute walk of the schools.</p> <p>The impact of the proposed TRO itself on Harnham Road itself should be minimal. All traffic travelling to the Harnham Schools currently has to travel along Harnham Road. No parking is currently possible on Harnham Road due to the presence of 'no waiting at any time restrictions'. Neither of the aforementioned matters will be changed as a result of the proposed TRO.</p> <p>Additional waiting restrictions will shortly be introduced into Parsonage Green that will serve to control parking so as not to obstruct bus travel along this road.</p>
<p>31</p>	<p>I would like to make the following points about this proposal:</p> <p>1) With prohibition of driving already in force for Saxon Road, this proposal will mean that there is no direct vehicular access to the school or to stop/park relatively close to the school for parents at any time of day. Surely if there is a school already on a site, there needs to be access to it.</p> <p>2) There is not enough alternative parking within a 5/10 minute walk to the school for the amount of parents who currently use Hollows Close and Folkestone Road for parking to take their children to school.</p> <p>3) The vast majority of parents who drive to and from school (and pick up from after school clubs) do so because they are working and it is not possible to walk to school and be at work on time (and the same at the end of the day).</p> <p>4) The people who live in these roads (particularly Hollows Close), bought (or rented) their houses knowing that they would be living in a road with a school and that the road would be busy for two relatively short periods of time a day, Mon-Fri. Presumably they took this into account when deciding to purchase their houses. It seems a little unfair for them then to then decide that parents</p>	<p><u>Response to Bullet Points 1-3</u></p> <p>As is mentioned elsewhere even if the proposed TRO is implemented, parking will continue to be available in Parsonage Green meaning that there will always be vehicular access to the school within five minutes of the school gates.</p> <p>As stated above parking will continue to be available in Parsonage Green and as mentioned elsewhere as part of the Park and Stride scheme actively promoted by the schools as part of their travel plan. The schools promote Park and Stride from the nearby Old Blandford Road, the Church Hall Car Park and the Cricket Field Car Park all of which are within a five minute walk of the schools.</p> <p>Parking will also be available in other nearby roads such as Wiltshire Road. However, the onus is on parents to park responsibly. If parents park indiscriminately in Wiltshire Road then residents are likely to ask the Council to address any problems they are creating.</p> <p><u>Response to Bullet Point 4</u></p>

	<p>can no longer use the road to take their children to school because its inconvenient for them.</p> <p>5) The parking problem will just be shifted to other streets nearby, so those people who chose to buy their houses in the road where the school is won't have to put up with parents parking in their road, but other people who decided to live further from the school will have the problem shifted to their roads. That hardly seems fair.</p> <p>6) Instead of solving a problem, this proposal will not only move the problem elsewhere, but create the new problem of children being late to school and late being picked up. I also fear that children will start to be dropped off from places that are some distance from the school and have to walk unaccompanied because parents won't be able to find anywhere to park. This is a primary school where children have to be accompanied to and from school and reasonable access to the school, both for those who are able to walk and those who have to come by car, should be available.</p>	<p>The fact that residents of Hollows Close may have purchased/rented their property in the knowledge of the presence of the school is largely irrelevant.</p> <p>The problems being experienced by residents of Hollows Close are predominantly caused by parents undertaking the school run blocking the road, blocking driveways and mounting the footways. Given the problems in Hollows Close, both reported as occurring by residents and witnessed by officers, the Council has to consider introducing measures to address them.</p> <p><u>Response to Bullet Points 5</u></p> <p>If the TRO is implemented the Council will monitor the impact they have on adjacent roads. If problems are found to be displaced into adjacent roads then the Council will consider the introduction of additional measures to address them.</p> <p><u>Response to Bullet Points 6</u></p> <p>Whilst, clearly if implemented the proposed TRO will alter school travel behaviour for some parents there is no reason to believe that they will cause children to be late to the schools. Particularly as outlined in the response to Bullet Points 1-3 as parking will continue to be available within close proximity to the school.</p>
32	<p>Preventing the use of these roads by vehicular traffic "in a manner which is unsuitable having regard to the existing character of the road or adjoining property" severely affects those of us who need to drive to visit friends in Folkestone Road and Hollows Close.</p> <p>I have a blue badge and could not easily walk from wherever we could find a parking space in a nearby road. These restrictions will make it very difficult for the residents affected to have visitors or deliveries during the week.</p> <p>I hope these proposals will not be carried out.</p>	<p>The correspondent would still be able to visit friends situated in the roads to which the proposed prohibition of driving order will apply as visitor permits will be issued to residents of the roads which will enable them to continue receiving visitors.</p>
33	<p>My wife and I live at 121 Harnham Road, Salisbury and have a substantial frontage on Folkestone Road.</p> <p>We object to the order on two grounds. First, the Council reasons for proposing the restrictions do not seem to be valid. Currently, the road is not used by vehicles in a manner which is unsuitable having regard to the existing character of the road or adjoining property.</p>	<p>Please refer to the response to Comment 18.</p>

34	<p>Second, the order seems to be aimed at preventing parking by parents collecting children from the Harnham Schools. The proposed order is an entirely disproportionate response and will in any case be very difficult to enforce, thus bringing the Council and its enforcement staff into disrepute.</p>	<p>As is explained in the main body of the report residents of Hollows Close experience problems of parents undertaking the school run blocking the road, blocking driveways and mounting the footways. The proposed TRO if implemented would address the problems outlined above and is therefore considered an appropriate response.</p> <p>As the TRO proposes a prohibition of driving restriction the Police, rather than the Council, would be responsible for enforcement activities.</p>
35	<p>Having lived in the Close for 25 years I am not really sure what the issue is with the parents school run or indeed the rumour I heard about non residents parking up in the morning and leaving their car whilst they walk to their place of work. A neighbouring property having a vehicle parked in his front garden - that would have involved some very dangerous driving and I assume would have left tyre marks in this very damp ground! I have never encountered anyone doing either. Indeed most people I know (and working at the local hospital I know many!) feel that walking into town from Harnham is slightly too far at the end of the day! and as for walking to the hospital I believe I am the only one from this close foolish enough to do it!!</p>	<p>This comment is noted.</p>
36	<p>A house near a school will be busy at school times but it is 30 minutes at each end of the day, 41 weeks of the year minus inset days also. In these days of austerity it must be becoming a logistic nightmare for parents, who are being encouraged to work left, right and centre, to ensure their children are dropped off at the various schools before they themselves deposit themselves at work in a timely manner.</p> <p>I feel Wiltshire Council would be failing Harnham Schools, if the proposal is implemented, by not offering adequate alternatives to enable parents to park up and take their children the short walk to school. In these austere times with Mr Cameron and his government encouraging EVERYONE to work parents haven't time to walk the length of Harnham as most fortunately do have work to attend!!! Prohibiting cars into Hollows Close will just move the problem further down into Harnham - how neighbourly is that. I think everyone should remember that the school is older than the houses. A solution would be to make Folkestone Road and Hollows Close interlinked and one way or alternatively look at parking restrictions in the form of yellow lines down one side of Hollows Close. If you wish to discuss those solutions in more detail please contact me. Indeed when the residents had/have children at Harnham schools they were too busy getting to work on time to worry about a few extra cars at school in and out time! Perhaps we all need to check our property boundaries and appreciate what really is ours.</p>	<p>Please refer to the response to Comment 8.</p>

37	<p>I am more concerned about the double parking further down the close, which is far far more stressful than a few parents dropping their kids off in the morning and collecting in the afternoon. In fact within the last 9 months, when we had the misfortune to call the fire brigade due to a suspicious alarm going off at a neighbouring property, the Watch had to leave the engine at the bottom of the Close and attend to the incident - luckily the engine was not required as precious seconds would have been wasted. Both cars at my property have been damaged due to the difficult manoeuvring sometimes entailed with this double parking to leave and enter the Close we live in! Both accidents incurred visits to local garage for repairs at our own cost. On more than a handful of occasions at a weekend I have seen the local supermarket delivery lorry drivers pushing residents deliveries up the close as they have been unable to manoeuvre their vehicle up the close due to the double parking - this will not subside just because we have to "pay to park at our property". Also, more recently a neighbour had to stop his truck in the middle of the road and knock on doors to ask various residents if they could move their cars as the double parking prevented him from leaving the close.</p>	Please refer to the response to Comment 10.
38	<p>I am aware that Hollows Close, the school and surrounding area, is highly sought after and, with the news that house prices are at least on the increase am concerned that such a scheme will reduce the value - or worse have negative effect on the school numbers and heaven forbid it will be forced to close - after all we cant stop residents parking in the remit of their property, nor indeed dictate how many and what type of car they choose to own, lease or drive. "Paying" for the privilege will not stop the double parking - double parking leaves cars unattended for hours on end - at least with school traffic there is a relatively quick turn over and the cars generally are not parked up for too long. Unfortunately though, and these people are everywhere, there is always the minority that spoil it for everyone else - be it school parents being slightly thoughtless or residents letting the situation get to them.</p>	<p>Typically residents parking schemes add value to a property as they restrict the use of parking in the immediate vicinity of said property to residents and their visitors. Whilst it proposed to introduce a prohibition of driving restriction, rather than a residents parking scheme per say, the same logic would be applied as the proposed prohibition of driving order would only allow permit holders (residents and their visitors) to drive along Hollows Close.</p> <p>It is considered highly unlikely that the proposals will force the Harnham Schools to close.</p>
39	<p>My understanding of the residents parking is that it is "what we want" because "we have decided". I am sorry but I was never invited to a meeting to voice "what I wanted" or "what I might have decided". Indeed when I first heard about any such event it was via a local councillor who told me that a select few had meet in a neighbouring house! Sorry but the close has in the region of 150 houses so a "neighbouring house" was a totally impractical location should all the residents wished to have attended to hear and voice opinion! Whoops only the select few knew about it!</p>	<p>The prior consultation referred to was undertaken by residents of Hollows Close in developing their case for the introduction of measures to deal with the problems they were experiencing. It was the residents' decision as to who they felt should be consulted in developing their case. From the point of view of the Council only one consultation has been undertaken on this subject, that being the TRO in response to which these comments were submitted, and all residents of Hollows Close have had the same opportunity to comment on the proposals.</p>
40	<p>How will such an enforcement be policed? I was told by one of the neighbours involved in the campaign that any cars parked without permits would be photographed and reported, to whom the neighbour was unsure, but I was told that in the interim the neighbours would knock each other up to see if anyone knew who the said car belonged to! I am sorry but that is an invasion of privacy - especially as the Close as a whole in general keeps itself to itself. Also buying one spare permit per household for "visitors" sounds pretty restrictive - what will happen about family gatherings during the</p>	<p>As the TRO proposes a prohibition of driving restriction the Police, rather than the Council, would be responsible for enforcement activities. Vehicles entitled to use the roads to which the Order applies will be required to display a permit. If the Police see a vehicle using a restricted road without a permit then they will undertake enforcement action. Enforcement would typically be verbal warnings or the issuing of a Fixed Penalty Notice. Residents would need to report vehicles illegally using the roads to which the Order applies to the Police.</p>

	<p>week long school holidays or when Christmas falls on a week day? Maybe I will need to ask "a neighbour" for permission for an extra pass or 2 as I have Uncle Tom Cobbley and all visiting on the 3rd Wednesday in August.</p>	<p>The number of permits that eligible households can apply for is unrestricted.</p>
41	<p>As a resident of Hollows Close I have noticed recently a big increase in the number of commercial type vehicles parking on bends, covering dropped curbs and often with two wheels on the pavement. Parking on a bend means that the vision of drivers coming from a side road is seriously impaired. The worst times for this are evenings and weekends when Traffic Wardens are off duty.</p> <p>What concerns me most is that with vehicles on bends and on both sides of a narrow road it would be impossible for emergency vehicles to drive up the road because of their additional width. One suggestion I would have for dealing with this might be the painting of white lines around the bends in side roads to help with the vision problem.</p> <p>I would be grateful if you could look more carefully at this issue for the benefit of all residents of Hollows Close.</p>	<p>The Council has received reports of inconsiderate parking taking place in Hollows Close during school holiday periods which are obviously not caused by parents of children attending the Harnham Schools. However, the instances of this problem occurring seem to be the exception rather than the rule. That said though if the proposed prohibition of driving TRO is implemented and parking problems continue to occur in Hollows Close then the introduction of additional waiting restrictions would be considered by the council.</p> <p>It should also be noted that instances of vehicles obstructing the footways or impeding visibility at junctions (which is what I believe the correspondent is referring to when he mentions bends) can be addressed by the Police, irrespective of the presence of additional waiting restrictions in Hollow Close, by enforcement of the rules contained within the Highway Code.</p>
42	<p>I write with reference to the Prohibition of Driving Order in Folkestone Road Salisbury ref LJB/TRO/SALSpod.</p> <p>I object to the scheme which is not necessary in Folkestone Road. It is true that twice a day parents park for 10-15 minutes whilst dropping their children at Harnham School. However their cars do not remain in our road, and the parents always park with consideration for the inhabitants for this short period of time.</p> <p>A prohibition of driving order is an unnecessary restriction for the residents of Folkestone Road.</p>	<p>Please refer to the response to Comment 4.</p>
43	<p>I have lived in Hollows Close for 25years, and I don't want to pay to park outside my house. Not once did the residents of the close go to a meeting ;it seems a handful of people met at one of there houses and took it on themselves to talk for all of us. I pay enough in council tax thank you and find it hard to make ends meet as it is .If this seems to go ahead I will seek legal advice.</p>	<p>The prior consultation referred to was undertaken by residents of Hollows Close in developing their case for the introduction of measures to deal with the problems they were experiencing. It was the residents' decision as to who they felt should be consulted in developing their case. From the point of view of the Council only one consultation has been undertaken on this subject, that being the TRO in response to which these comments were submitted, and all residents of Hollows Close have had the same opportunity to comment on the proposals.</p> <p>The charge for permits is to cover the administration costs of issuing them. Enforcement of the scheme will be undertaken by the Police. The permit itself is not a parking permit per say but indicates to the Police that you are exempt from the prohibition of driving restriction. The costs of permits, be they residents or visitors, is a modest £5 per permit. The number of permits that can be applied for is unlimited and they only have to be renewed every three years. Renewal of residents' permits is free of charge whilst the renewal of</p>

		visitor permits is £5 per permit. Therefore, the cost of a permit works out at less than a penny per day over their three year life cycle.
44	<p>I oppose to these proposals as I don't think it will cure the problems of parking. Monday to Friday the problems arise at school drop off and pick up times. These problems only last 20 minutes or so. This can be stopped by making the school shut the gate in Hollows Close so all pupils enter via main gate. Parking in Hollows Close is always difficult every evening and weekends. I do not see why I and my wife have to pay for a parking permit when there is often nowhere to park anyway. My wife is a nurse at SDH and works shifts and often has to park a long when from our house when on a late or weekend shift, this proposal will not help her one bit.</p>	<p>The proposals are not specifically designed or intended to solve problems with inconsiderate parking by residents of Hollows Close. If the proposed prohibition of driving TRO is implemented and parking problems continue to occur in Hollows Close then the introduction of additional waiting restrictions would be considered by the Council.</p> <p>If the current parking arrangements are causing the correspondent and his wife problems then they might consider converting part of their front garden to a parking space. There seems to be ample space to undertake such a conversion and doing so would guarantee them a parking space. Obviously, any decision to do so rests entirely with the correspondent and his wife.</p>
45	<p>I and my husband who live on the corner of Folkestone & Harnham Roads, (119 Harnham Road) wish to say that we do NOT support the scheme to introduce residents' parking & the closure of Folkestone Road to non-residents.</p> <p>We see no need to have any change made to the current system of free-for-all parking.</p> <p>The situations we have encountered with cars parking on Folkestone Road over the 26 years or so we have lived in this house, have always been manageable, and rarely has there been inconvenience.</p> <p>Please therefore register our objection to this scheme.</p>	<p>Please refer to the response to Comment 4.</p>